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It's truly a great pleasure to write a few lines of preface to this wonderful book on "Jerusalem. The Holy Sepulchre. Research and Investigations (2007-2011)". The pleasure doesn't arise only due to the beauty of the content and the scientific value, but also to my friendship with Piergiorgio Malesani. I perfectly remember when Piergiorgio told me about this project: his enthusiasm, his devotion to Father Piccirillo, his exceptional knowledge and skills in the field of petrography and its application to cultural heritage conservation, were the perfect ingredients to produce such extraordinary work, that the readers are finally able to look at.

I remember also that the work was born during the glorious years when at the University of Florence a Centre for Cultural Heritage constituted a marvelous experience where architects, geologists, chemists – as I am –, biologists, physicists, art historians, informatics scholars could dialogue and carry out true inter- and pluri-disciplinary projects. Indeed, the project to which this book deals with has all the peculiarities of a multi-disciplinary approach to a complex conservation and restoration case study.

I am very grateful to Grazia Tucci who succeeded after a long and intense work to end this beautiful story with this publication: it’s a deserved prize to the memory of two great men, Piergiorgio Malesani and Father Piccirillo, two persons that I had the luck to meet and with whom I collaborated receiving much more than I was able to do. I hope scholars in all the world, and namely young researchers, can have interesting food for thought allowing new projects and improving their knowledge and skills.

Luigi Dei
Rector of the University of Florence
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The Holy Sepulchre Church is the most important Christian Shrine in the world; its rich history, though, and the fact that it is governed by an internationally recognised complex sharing system known as the Status Quo, have deeply influenced its architecture.

In 2006 the Heads of the Three Major Communities at the Holy Sepulchre, concerned about the stability of the Holy Sepulchre Church in the event of a major earthquake, engaged, through their representatives, in a series of consultations concerning the need for an appropriate evaluation, starting with a preliminary investigation as to what would be required to conduct a complete study. The late Fr. Michele Piccirillo, OFM suggested inviting the Architectural research team of the University of Florence (CABEC) to Jerusalem in order to carry out the seismic study. The proposal to commission the team from Florence required the common agreement of the Three major Communities, given that it would need to extend to all parts of the structure. The Communities did indeed agree to commission and enable CABEC to undertake the study, whereupon the team carried out the first phase of the study from the 16th to the 23rd of April, 2007.

The team, under the direction of the late Prof. Giorgio Malesani, included numerous experts of disciplines relating to architecture and geology. The Communities gave their full cooperation to the team, which carried out one investigative campaign in 2007 and two campaigns in 2008, as well as various other on-site visits required to complete the research.

The study required extensive access to the structure as well as to adjacent spaces. Under the Status Quo regime, access to the common areas was by the consent of the Three Major Communities, whereas access to the areas belonging specifically to one of the Communities, including the many areas not accessible to the public, was by specific invitation of the respective Community. The Communities cooperated fully and harmoniously with the entire research process, thus making for its successful completion.

The final report of CABEC was presented to the Three Major Communities in October, 2009, with the title (as here translated from Italian): Analysis of the Seismic Vulnerability of the Church of the Holy Sepulchre in Jerusalem. The final report was presented in three volumes: I – Geotechnical and geophysical characteristics; II – Three-dimensional relief mapping; III – Structural evaluation of seismic vulnerability.

This book is the fruit of the research done during the seismic analysis. The purpose of this publication is to share these results with a wider public. We, the undersigned, are happy to present it to this public, for the purpose of furthering knowledge and understanding of the Holy Sepulchre. We thank all the experts who were involved in any and all the stages of the field studies, and in analysing the data thus produced, and that have thereby made the present volume possible. That the study was carried out by our common initiative and agreement give us great satisfaction. Obviously though, we do not necessarily endorse any technical choices and judgements, which are the responsibility of the respective experts, and still less do we mean to approve any comments in this book regarding the Status Quo regime in the Holy Sepulchre, on which we alone are competent to make any statement.

H.B. Teophilos III Fr. P. Pizzaballa OFM Abb. T. Manoogian
Greek Patriarch former Custos of the Holy Land Armenian Patriarch

Foreword
With the publication of this volume, another of the projects of Michele Piccirillo that were left unfinished with his demise. 11 years ago, in seeing the light of day, albeit in partial form. The plan for a renewed study of the Basilica complex, setting out from up-to-date findings acquired using the most modern technology, had taken shape more and more in the thoughts of Piccirillo, who, throughout his life as a scholar of Palestine studies, and also as a Franciscan, gave a lot of space, and attached much importance, to the Basilica of the Anastasis in Jerusalem. Indeed, already at 22, he was working with his friend Franco Scaglia, and the director Luca Avigliano, on the documentary of the Holy Sepulchre. It’s even less surprising that the last volume he published – under the title La Nuova Gerusalemme. Antiguida palestinese al servizio dei Luoghi Santi – dealt especially with the models of the Holy Sepulchre, made of olive tree wood and mother-of-pearl. These models were made thanks to the plans and sections carefully drawn up by Fra Bernardino Amico between the 16th and 17th centuries. It was the idea of bringing Amico’s work more up-to-date, using the tools and knowledge accumulated over four centuries, that led Michele Piccirillo to entrust Prof. Malesani and a group of experts from Florence University with the task of carrying out the complete 3D survey, conducting geological and seismic investigations, and compiling an analysis of the Basilica’s seismic vulnerability. It sometimes happens that a person and a particular part of the world are deeply interconnected, so much so that when one of the two happens to succumb, the other is often also at no small risk. This is the case with Father Michele Piccirillo and the Holy Land. “His” monuments are no longer the extraordinary place that they had become as long as Father Piccirillo made them so alive, and extraordinarily welcoming. When the monuments became laboratories, magical places in which the coexistence of different cultural experiences was made possible, in the interests of a more open vision, with a view to areas of common ground, and broader horizons for collective growth. Father Piccirillo lived in a borderland that “...makes the road captivating” (Debray, 2010), the place where differing experiences meet, and are exchanged, where collaboration is practiced, while respecting each other’s individuality. Working “for” Father Piccirillo was the same as working “with” him. Father Piccirillo was convinced that any intervention on an ancient building cannot be restricted merely to technical components, instead it has to give sufficient attention also to cultural and socio-economic aspects. Living in the field, on-site, with Father Piccirillo, not infrequently concealed jealousy and manipulations of the facts, which Piccirillo – dealt especially with the complex project. 

In 2007 Prof. Piergiorgio Malesani, as Director of the Centre for Cultural Heritage of the University of Firenze, was commissioned by the Custody of the Holy Land to conduct a study on the Basilica of the Holy Sepulchre in Jerusalem with the main aim of assessing the seismic vulnerability of the entire architectural complex. At the same time he was also asked to carry out a survey of the Girotti of the Annunciation in Nazareth to determine the state of conservation. The task was certainly arduous and delicate but Piergiorgio was the most suitable man to deal with that type of task. First of all because he had the solid experience and competence that is required in such circumstances and then because he had already given proof of his extraordinary ability to develop interdisciplinary projects and collaborations with all the wide range of professionals (engineers, architects, geologists, geophysicists) that was necessary to deal with such a complex project.

So, if on the one hand the more than 240 scientific contributions, published in national and international journals, certified the quality of the scientist, on the other hand, the numerous institutional assignments received during his career (Professor of the degree course in Geological Sciences from 1980 to 1986, Director of the Department of Earth Sciences for the three-year period 1989-1992 and for the three-year period 2000-2003, Dean of the Faculty of Mathematical, Physical and Natural Sciences of the University of Firenze for the three-year period 1996-1999) testify to his unbounded management skills.

In his actions the figure of the professional emerged with extreme clarity. Piergiorgio was in fact a university professor who had matured much of his knowledge directly in the field, dealing with a large number of objectively complex situations within very varied contexts. In short, it was one of those problem-solvers that the best companies constantly counted on. For this reason, he was also an esteemed consultant to various authorities, institutions and companies and has worked, on their behalf, both nationally (with contributions ranging from interventions to secure power lines to the optimization of industrial cycles in the production of cement and brick) and abroad (with interventions for the construction of tunnels, dams, road and rail tracks).

In short, having shared such an extraordinary experience with Piergiorgio - the important results of which are contained in this book - we were able to fully appreciate the qualities of the scholar who is always ready to face the complex situations and the exceptional qualities of the man and of the sincere friend, whom he was able to express also on that occasion.

And it is precisely for this reason that we wish to conclude the memory of Piergiorgio - a great friend imbued with deep esteem, great friendship and sincere affection.

Luigi Marinò, Carmello Pappalardo, Graziella Tucci

In short, having shared such an extraordinary experience with Piergiorgio - the important results of which are contained in this book - we were able to fully appreciate the qualities of the scholar who is always ready to face the complex situations and the exceptional qualities of the man and of the sincere friend, whom he was able to express also on that occasion.

And it is precisely for this reason that we wish to conclude the memory of Piergiorgio - a great friend imbued with deep esteem, great friendship and sincere affection.

Piero Baglioni, Camillo Alberto Garzondi, Giovanni Pratesi
To this end, the wall elevations to be analysed were defined, and subdivided by level (main storey and upper gallery). On these, both the Architectural Elements (EA), displaying homogeneity of construction characteristics, and the Wall Stratigraphical Units (USM), were later evidenced, following a separate numbered sequence for each wall elevation.

In the case of the Holy Sepulchre, the stratigraphical diagram (matrix) recording the sequence of construction, and the physical relations between the parts that were identified, presents no few problems, owing above all to the huge mass of data that would weaken their interpretation. To this end, a further simplification was effected in the numbering of those Architectural Elements which, despite being formed from differing parts that can be defined separately, can clearly be referred to a single phase with homogeneous construction characteristics. This operation is possible above all with the Crusader period elements, which reveal an easily legible construction intention, that is clear in each of its parts. Obviously the features with special architectural or structural importance, and the parts replaced in a different era, were numbered as individual Cuts, USMs and EA (see Tables 2, 3 and 4).

The matrix was then processed to create several diagrams relating to each of the elevations analysed, and also organized as a tool for structural investigation, with an information content that is different from that usually defined. All of the work of identifying Stratigraphical Units and Architectural Elements is thus based on an interpretation of the stratigraphical relationships that are still observable in the North Transept, highlighting, as a first draft, a relative sequence limited to the main construction events that can be dated clearly, as outlined below in the absolute chronology of the construction phases of the complex, identified by V. Corbo (see Figure 14).


For example, as clearly visible in Table 3, the stratigraphical relationships have been shown between an EA comprising an entire Crusader-period pillar that was cut (Cut: negative Stratigraphical Unit) for the creation of a restoration stone element (USM), in turn numbered and placed in a physical (relative) relationship with EA and T (Taglio = Cut).

V. Corio, 1981, Table 1.
It is interesting to note that both the Crusader masons and the 11th century Byzantine masons reused numerous capitals, column bases and column drums from the 4th century Basilica. The sequence of the construction and destruction phases which characterize this extraordinary architectural context can be seen in it, in a clear and orderly form (see Tables 1, 2 and 3).

1.2.2. The stratigraphical sequence

The stratigraphical sequence of the North Transept of the Holy Sepulchre has been subdivided, for a better interpretation of the macro-phases, into construction and destruction activities, which can be related to actions which are chronologically homogeneous, as documented so far. As mentioned above, this work is only an initial draft based largely on the observations and periodization of the parameters of the context under investigation, using the chronological indicators derived from the extensive bibliography on the subject.

6  V. Corbo, 1981, pp 81, 82.
7 Despite having referred in depth in the previous paragraphs to the studies on the Holy Sepulchre in Jerusalem, it is opportune here to cite the works used for the study of the construction phases: the monograph on the city by H. Vincent (H. Vincent et M. Abel, Jérusalem Nouvelle, vol. 2 (Paris, 1914), the story of the building summarized in R. Oosthuizen, “Rebuilding the Temple: Constantine Monomachus and the Holy Sepulchre”, JSAH 48 (mar. 1989), 66-78 and, by the same author, in 2003, “Architecture as a Relic, and the Construction of Sanctity: the Stones of the Holy Sepulchre”, JSAH 62 (mar. 2003), 4-23; V. Corbo, II Santo Sepolcro di Gerusalemme, 3 vols. (Jerusalem, 1981), a work which, as mentioned several times, surpassed all previous publications on the subject, and which also documents part of the restorations carried out in the second half of the 20th century. A perhaps slightly less detailed account, in terms of richness of graphic documentation, is provided by C. Cowdew, The Church of Holy Sepulchre in Jerusalem (London, 1974). Finally, S. Gossen and J. Taylor, Under the Church of Holy Sepulchre (London, 1994), made important observations regarding the Constantinian building, while Denis D. Pringle’s The tomb of Christ (Sutton, 1999) remains the most significant work, after V. Corbo’s own studies, and before the fundamental text on Crusader architecture by D. Pringle, The Churches of the Crusader Kingdom of Jerusalem: A Corpus. III the City of Jerusalem (2007). Important observations on the building’s chronology are made in J. Folda, Art of the Crusaders in the Holy Land. 1089-1187 (1995).
sader work site began. Sawafulus mentioned that the Anastasis was a large church with an open roof so that the rain fell on the Aedikule of the Tomb (Figure 22). Abbot Daniel gave a more detailed description of this ceiling shaped like a pseudo-co- polis and observed that it was made of wood. He specified that the building was circular and was supported by twelve monolithic columns and by six pillars made of stone standing on the ground level, there were other sixteen columns (made of stone?) on the gallery level; finally, the flooring was in marble, it was decorated with frescoes and mosaics, and it had six entrance doors65. Two chapels leant against the Anastasis northern and southern sides, dedicated to Saint Mary and Saint John the Evangelist, who had witnessed the Passion of the Christ7. On the left side of the latter oratory, there was a beautiful chapel dedicated to the Holy Trinity («monasterium in quo est locus baptisterii»), which in turn shared the southern wall with another chapel dedicated to Saint James the Less, first Bishop of Jerusalem. Abbot Daniel stressed that on the Chapel of Saint Mary façade there was the miraculous image of the Virgin Dormans, celebrated in the Votis Maris of Egypt, to whom Mary directed her gaze after retiring «in oru- lum otium templi». The icon, already mentioned by Anonymous Piacentinus (VI century) and by the monk Epiphanius (IX century), was frescoed above a door in the north-east corner of the porticoed courtyard and had evidently survived the devastation by Hakim.

![Diagram of the Anastasis Church](image1)

**FIGURE 21** The Anastasis Church from the interior (XIV century).

**FIGURE 22** The Holy sepulcher rebuilt by the byzantines after the Muslim devastation of the VIII century highlights the majesty of its type.

**FIGURE 23** The Anastasis at the end of the IV century.

**FIGURE 24** The Church of the Calvary rebuilt by byzantines (XI century).

Sawafulus mentioned some chapels located on the western side of the porticoed courtyard. Some of them were already part of the High Medieval complex, others were built by Byzantines. On the northern corner there was the ancient chapel of the Prison of the Christ7 and, on the opposite corner, the church of the Calvary. The latter had probably been rebuilt, since it was one of the buildings that had been destroyed by Muslims three years before (Figure 24). The pillory noted that this sanctuary was made of two superimposed sacraria: the one above brought to mind the Location of the Crucifixion and where Abraham had built his altar; the one below where, according to tradition, there is Adam’s Tomb7. Abbot Daniel also specified that the Holy Rock was surrounded by walls and covered by vaults, both entirely covered in mosaics. This envi- ronment, which must have been the church of the Calvary, had two entrance doors with steps7, perhaps connected to the porticoes in the atrium. The High Medieval church of «Saint Constantine», which had been built on the Martyrdom crypt and then destroyed under the command of Hakim, was no longer restored. After the Byzantine works the whole area was simply called «the place where queen Helena had built the great Basilica that celebrated the finding of the True Cross». Walking through the ruins of the old crypt, it was possible to climb down to the Spolios of the inventio, which was still serving as an oratory and sanctuary7. Using the volume of the Martyrdom7 former vestibule, the Byzantines built three oratories between the Calvary and the Prison of the Christ. They were dedicated to three episodes of the Passion of the Christ: the Chapel of the Division of the Robe, the Chapel of the Crown of Thorns, and the Chapel of the Denial, reminding of the soldiers who denied Christ and dressed him in purple. In the crusader reconstruction, the latter would be dedicated to the Fligilabron, but at that time the Colomaiti associated with the torture was still located inside the former vestibule together with other sanctuaries (among which the Altar of Abraham and the Rock where the Christ was hit in the face), although not in the same chapel7. On the southern side of the Calvary, there were the remains of the High Medieval church of Saint Mary, described by Sawafulus. The ruins lay on the Holy Place where the body of the Christ, taken down from the cross, would have been anointed with perfumed oils and wrapped in a clean linen cloth7. In the internal porticoed courtyard, right below the Anastasis aqwa wall (Figure 23), there is a particular object named Com- pia that symbolizes the Omphalos or Center of the World. Sawafulus defined this holy Place as an olymbos7. Abbot Daniel described a small construction covering it, similar to a choraion, with a vault decorated by rich mosaics. On the vault there is the following inscription: «the soul of my foot serves as a measure for the heaven and for the earth»7.89

![Diagram of the Calvary Church](image2)
On July 15th, 1099, Jerusalem was conquered by crusaders, who maintained control until October 2, 1187. During these eighty-eight years of Latin governance, the Holy Sepulchre was restored in the Romanic form that is still visible (Figure 23). For the first time after many centuries a new consistent renovation project for the whole sanctuary was conceived, instead of the several projects for partial reconstructions or adaptations that had been carried out before. Thus, they created a new magnificent architecture, formally, stylistically, and volumetrically homogeneous. The construction was supported by relevant financial resources. The Crusader Basilica (Chorus Dominorum) was built in place of the intermediate narthex and connected to the Anastostus. It incorporated the chapels of the Calvary. The new dome raised above the Anastasis, the semicircular apse, and the lintel of the Holy Sepulchre. It was completed by 1172, when Theodoros described it in his Libellus de locis sanctis. The German pilgrims, in fact, men-
1.3.4. The quarry and its transformations
Simonetta Fiamminghi

1.3.4.1. The ancient cave

It is possible to have an idea of the quarry as it appeared in the past thanks to the discovery of two ancient quarries dated to the end of the Second Temple period, which were excavated by the Israel Antiquities Authority. These caves were opened in the area north of the Holy Sepulchre, outside the ancient walls, and allow a more precise visualization of the quarry morphology on the site of the Rotunda (Figure 50).

The first quarry was found during an excavation in Shmuel Hanavi Street, in the neighborhood of Ramat Shlomo, Jerusalem. It was at least 5 dunams (1 dunam = 1000 square meters)\textsuperscript{123}. The quarry dates back to Second Temple period, in the first century AD. Here, they found 8 meters long blocks, similar to the ones that were used in the lower parts of the Temple Mount\textsuperscript{124} (Figure 51A).

The second quarry was opened in Shmuel Hanavi Street and also dates back to first century AD. Extracted blocks measured 3x2x2m. Evidences suggest that many miners used to work in this quarry. These two quarries produced blocks of various sizes, which were quarried by creating wide detachment channels. The blocks were then marked by means of a chisel that weighed approximately 2.5 kg\textsuperscript{125} (Figures 52-53). Methods of quarrying the stone remained largely unvaried until the introduction of modern techniques.

In the first phase of the extractive process the smaller blocks were quarried, in order to level the surface. Then, the bigger blocks were extracted, creating wide detachment channels. The channels, marked by means of chisels too, were cut all around the blocks except for their bottom, probably because of a natural discontinuity in the rock stratification. To facilitate the extraction, wooden wedges would be placed inside the channels and then soaked with water. The pressure generated by the wood natural enlargement would have helped to detach the block. Finally, the wedges would have been beaten until the complete detachment of the block (Figure 51B).

The quarrymen would use first the natural discontinuities of the rock to insert wedges, therefore blocks were usually extracted along their natural stratification.

\textsuperscript{123} The excavation has been realized before the construction of residential buildings, under the direction of Dr. Ofer Sion and Yehuda Rapuano of the Israel Antiquities Authority.

\textsuperscript{124} According to the Bible, the Temple of Solomon or First Temple was built by King Solomon in the tenth century BC. It was completely destroyed by Nebuchadnezzar II in 586 BC. The works for the Second Temple started in 536 BC. It was completed on March 12, 515 BC. It was then restored on November 21, 164 BC by Judah Maccabeus. The Temple of Herod was an important expansion of the Second Temple. It was started by Herod the Great around 19 BC and completed in all its parts only in 64 AD. The Second Temple was destroyed in 70 AD by the Empire Titus. To-day only its western wall remains, known as Wailing Wall.

\textsuperscript{125} http://www.antiquities.org.il/articles_eng.asp?secid=25&subjid=240&id=1586&module_id=#as
1.4.1. The “Status Quo” in the Holy Sepulchre Church

The Holy Sepulchre Church in Jerusalem, the Nativity Church in Bethlehem and the Tomb of the Virgin in Gethsemane are unique in that they are the only Christian shrines in the world that are shared by different Christian Communities. In the Holy Sepulchre we find six Christian Communities who share the Church complex in complete agreement as to the importance of the Holy Place. Here we find different Christian Communities often worshipping the same God under the same roof at the same time. However, this sharing of the Church, which is one of its most fascinating aspects, is also something that generates incomprehension and negative publicity. This sharing is known as the Status Quo. Almost everything concerning operations in the Church is regulated by this reality. Therefore, defining what the Status Quo means is important.

1.4.2. The “Status Quo”

In February of 1852 the Sultan of the Ottoman Empire, Abdul Majid, issued an imperial decree (Firman) by which he obliged the Ottoman Governor of Jerusalem and other members of the Ottoman government in Jerusalem, as well as the Christian Communities, to «maintain things in their actual state» and «to introduce no changes» in the holy places that were held in common.

The decree is very short – translated into English it counts about 1,000 words – and it contains very few details. The decree affirms that the Church of the Holy Sepulchre, the Basilica of the Nativity, the Tomb of the Virgin and the Dome of the Ascension should remain in their existing state, as they were in February 1852. Of the four above-mentioned shrines, only the Dome of the Ascension is not possessed by Christians, but rather by Muslims. This concept that «there is to be no change» is repeated six times in reference to the shrines mentioned in the decree. The substance of the decree was later called the «Status Quo» in the Treaty of Berlin in 1878.

The Status Quo therefore imposed on all the Communities present in these four above-mentioned shrines that they remain as they were, with no changes of possession or use in the widest sense of the term. Hence, it is interpreted to mean that there would be no changes in possession, prayer schedules, cleaning, repairs, and so forth.
This chapter presents an overview, in chronological order, of the most important surveys of the Church of the Holy Sepulchre since the latter part of the 16th century. Beginning with those by from the 17th century onward, with contributions by scholars, historians, and architects, the surveys, from the 18th to the 20th century, present the historical and artistic evolution of the church, as well as its architectural and historical value.

### Part one

#### Chapter V

**FIGURE 1** Plan of the Holy Sepulchre, from a 17th-century manuscript (16th century) based on an orant's report about its pilgrimage to the holy land.

1. The first edition of B. Amico’s ‘Carta’ appeared in Rome, printed by the Typographia Medicea. The authorization was issued by the Vicario Generale on 30 July 1609, and the printing was completed on 28 March 1610. It bore for Bernardino almost four years to prepare the work (B. Amico, Fra Bernardino Amico disegnatore dei Santuari Palestinesi alla Terra Santa, “Studi Frari”, Florence, 1938, pp. 207–27, reprinted in M. Pulsinelli, La nuova Gazzetta degli Studi della Terra Santa, 2007, pp. 233-238).

2. Charles Colinay (1904-1971) was a French architect and a Benedictine monk. A student of the École Nationale des Beaux-Arts in Paris, he worked in the Holy Land (July 1955) to follow the third excavation campaign at Tell el-Raha. In 1954, he was appointed by the Custodianship of the Holy Land, having been chosen by F. Conant (replacing the following year by Jean Trouvet) to oversee the on-site investigations and restoration works, which were undertaken in 1962, in line with the programme adopted in 1950 by the three Christian Communities. The works, concerning the static reinforcement and restoration of the Crusader church (the Minaret and Tower) with the choir of the Cross Fathers (in its 12th-century appearance), were completed in 1952, after being broken off in 1950 owing to disagreements regarding the dome of the Minaret. Contributing to the delay in the restoration work, the subject of tumultuous factions between Crossians and the Custodianship, in the person of R. Rock, was the disagreement in the part of the Greeks, who wanted work to be restricted to repairing the existing structure. Nevertheless, Crossians managed to establish a productive relationship with the Greeks, who also entrusted the work of the Crucifixion, the new support of the Orthodox Patriarchate (1935) and the supplementary checks by L. Marangoni (1937) on the building’s stability, as well as the seismic security of the monument, as commissioned by the Custodianship of the Holy Land from the C.A.B.E.C., directed by Prof. Malesani. Among the later works, much space is given to the reports by W. Harvey (1956) and Terry Ball, a collaborator of Coüasnon. Nor was it planned to erect over the structure, and the forces that would thereby be transmitted to the adjacent structures (the masonry and foundations) of more recent work, the list includes, after the investigations by C. Coüasnon and the excavations by Father Carbo (1960-1961), the accurate photogrammetry of the sacred spaces from the Holy Land (1935) and the supplementary checks by L. Marangoni (1937) on the building’s stability, as well as the seismic security of the monument, as commissioned by the Custodianship of the Holy Land from the C.A.B.E.C., directed by Prof. Malesani.

3. Starting in 1953, the Franciscan architect Louis Tramer (1919-1998) supervised, on behalf of the three religious communities (Catholic, Greek Orthodox and Armenian), the surveys and excavations inside the building and in the area of the monumental complex. The map by Antonio de Angelis (1578) is regarded as one of the most accurate topographical maps of the city of Jerusalem. Having lived about 6 years in the Holy Land, F. Antonino made the plan of the city of Jerusalem with the help of F. Francesco Maria Galvani, who later became Guarmani (i.e. Superior of the Convent of the Black), living 40 years in the Holy Land. Soon after its publication, the Map was certainly known and used everywhere in Europe, due to its innovations compared to previous maps. Malfattini, the Map’s editor, noted that the imprint of De Angelis’ work on subsequent plans of Jerusalem was considerable. C. M. Pulsinelli, “The role of the Franciscan in the mapping of the sacred spaces from the Holy Land to Europe,” in New Jerusalem Herodians and Iconoclasts: Material and Symbolic. Edited by M. Libby, published by “Indrik”, Moscow, 2009, 111-122.

4. Kenneth J. Conant (1956) and Terry Ball, a collaborator of Coüasnon. Nor was it planned to erect over the structure, and the forces that would thereby be transmitted to the adjacent structures (the masonry and foundations) of more recent work, the list includes, after the investigations by C. Coüasnon and the excavations by Father Carbo (1960-1961), the accurate photogrammetry of the sacred spaces from the Holy Land (1935) and the supplementary checks by L. Marangoni (1937) on the building’s stability, as well as the seismic security of the monument, as commissioned by the Custodianship of the Holy Land from the C.A.B.E.C., directed by Prof. Malesani.

5. In choosing the surveys, consideration was given to their accuracy, and whether information about measurements is given in the plates and/or in the text, omitting studies on the topography of Jerusalem and the resultant city maps, starting with the famous one by Antonio de Angelis (1578-1580), and the more recent work, the list includes, after the investigations by C. Coüasnon and the excavations by Father Carbo (1960-1961), the accurate photogrammetry of the sacred spaces from the Holy Land (1935) and the supplementary checks by L. Marangoni (1937) on the building’s stability, as well as the seismic security of the monument, as commissioned by the Custodianship of the Holy Land from the C.A.B.E.C., directed by Prof. Malesani.

**SURVEYS OF THE HOLY SEPULCHRE IN JERUSALEM FROM THE XVI CENTURY TO PRESENT TIMES**

Grazia Tucci
Joseph John Scoles was an English Gothic Revival architect, who designed several Roman Catholic churches. He was apprenticed in 1812 for seven years to his kinsman, Joseph Ireland, an architect largely employed by Dr. John Milner, the Roman Catholic bishop. In 1822 Scoles left England and devoted himself to archaeological and architectural research in Rome, Greece, Egypt, and Syria. He published in 1829 an engraved "Map of Nabi", and a map of the city of Jerusalem. In 1826 he returned home and resumed his practice. Scoles was elected a Fellow of the Royal Institute of British Architects in 1835, was honorary secretary, and vice-president in 1857-8. To the society's proceedings he contributed papers principally on the monuments of Egypt and the Holy Land, the outcome of his early travels.

His measured map (1825) of the church of the Holy Sepulchre, Jerusalem, with his drawings of the Jewish tombs in the valley of Jehoshaphat, was used by R. Willis, as the basis for his plate 1, 2 and 3 of his tour. The architectural history of the church of the Holy Sepulchre in Jerusalem (London 1884), in note C, the appendix, R. Willis gives some history of the materials from which he compiled the plans and sections in his plates. He cites the work of R. Farnaby in his known characteristics of completeness, and because it was accompanied by detailed descriptions and measurements. However, while he regards the plan as reliable because it is accurately drawn, and because it was not significantly altered in the reconstruction after the fire of 1808, the same cannot be said for the elevations, which he believes to be wrongly surveyed in part, and may not be the product of a classical interpretation. To this end, he backs up his observations by comparing Bernard's surveys with those of LeBrun and other authors, and to compile his tables he makes use of the particularly accurate work of Scoles. Finally, Willis emphasizes that the drawings are a personal elaboration based on "my own view, although based upon fairly correct data," and that he submits them "to the criticism of future observers, and shall be most grateful for corrections, or for additional information." The text by Willis appears in the second edition (1849) of the volume by G. Williams, The Holy City.


Charles-Jean-Melchior de Vogüé, Paris (1829-1836) was a French archaeologist, diplomat, and member of the Académie Française. In 1849 he was attached to the French Embassy in St. Petersburg. After his father's arrest during the French coup of 1851, de Vogüé gave up diplomacy to focus on archaeology and history in Syria and Palestine. Named as a member of the Académie des inscriptions et belles-lettres in 1868, he continued to publish learned articles on churches in the Holy Land, the Temple of Jerusalem, and Central Syria. After the fall of the Second Empire, Adolphe Thiers

JOSEPH J. SCOLES (1798-1863)

In note C, in the appendix, R. Willis gives some history of the materials from which he compiled the plans and sections in his plates. He cites the work of R. Farnaby in his known characteristics of completeness, and because it was accompanied by detailed descriptions and measurements. However, while he regards the plan as reliable because it is accurately drawn, and because it was not significantly altered in the reconstruction after the fire of 1808, the same cannot be said for the elevations, which he believes to be wrongly surveyed in part, and may not be the product of a classical interpretation. To this end, he backs up his observations by comparing Bernard's surveys with those of LeBrun and other authors, and to compile his tables he makes use of the particularly accurate work of Scoles. Finally, Willis emphasizes that the drawings are a personal elaboration based on "my own view, although based upon fairly correct data," and that he submits them "to the criticism of future observers, and shall be most grateful for corrections, or for additional information." The text by Willis appears in the second edition (1849) of the volume by G. Williams, The Holy City.

G. Williams, The Holy City—or, Historical and topographical notices of Jerusalem; with some account of its antiquities and of its present condition, with additions, including An Architectural History of the Church of the Holy Sepulchre, by R. Willis, London, J.W. Parker, West Strand, Cambridge, T. Stevenson, 1845.

GEORGE WILLIAMS (1814-1878) attending King's College, Cambridge. He was ordained in 1837, and accompanied Bishop Alexander as chaplain to Jerusalem from 1841 to 1843. He was England's greatest authority on the topography of Jerusalem in his day, and received a medal from the King of Prussia for literary merit based on his two-volume work, The Holy City. In this well illustrated work, he draws on both physical and literary evidence to conclude that the case for the traditional site is sound, while also surveying the great city's history and character. Throughout his career, he wrote many posts as various academic institutions, including King's College and Corpus Christi, and was made honorary canon of Winchester Cathedral in 1847.

This work supports the traditional location of Calvary against the challenges of contemporary scholarship. Since the opening up of Palestine to foreign travellers in the 1830s, there had been intense interest in locating places described in biblical literature. The author's intention was to carefully study the topography of the ancient city, and assess the veracity of the locations of the places in the Passion of Christ. He investigated the likely location of the city walls (whether or not they included the site that was being studied), and presented and debated a wide range of suppositions, comparing them to each other (Dr. Robinson, Dr. Schultz, Mr Smith, ), before concluding that "the tradition relating to the Holy Sepulchre, so far from being invalidated by the consideration of its locality, is much confirmed" (p. 69). He analyses the various moments in history, connecting them to the descriptions of pilgrims and travellers, and with the historical sources of Eusebius, the Bordeaux Pilgrim, Cyril's, and Arculfus. He critiques the approach of Mr. Ferguson (who had never been to Jerusalem), and does not approve of his hypothetical reconstructions, and says his theories cannot hold. He confirms the reliability of the Architectural History of the Church of the Holy Sepulchre, as written "from the pen of Professor Willis," who so fully established the identity of the present site with that of the original Sepulchre.
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FIGURE 42 2D plan of the ground floor of the Church of the Holy Sepulchre, including the Chapels of St. Helena, the Invention of the Cross and St. Vartan, extracted from the 3D point cloud (Tucci-Bonora surveys 2007-2009).
FIGURE 43: Superimposition of the 3D point cloud of the roof of the Complex of the Holy Sepulchre with the plan of the ground floor (Tucci-Bonora surveys 2007-2009).
FIGURE 44: Superimposition of the longitudinal section of the Complex of the Holy Sepulchre, extracted from the 3D point cloud, with the orthoimage of the elevations (Tucci-Bonora surveys 2007-2009).
FIGURE 46  Superimposition of the longitudinal section of St. Helena Chapel, extracted from the 3D point cloud, with the orthoimage of the elevations (Tucci-Bonora surveys 2007-2009).

FIGURE 47  Superimposition of the plan of the 1st floor of the Golgotha, extracted from the 3D point cloud, with the orthoimage of the floor (Tucci-Bonora surveys 2007-2009).
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FIGURE 45  Superimposition of the plan of the Rotunda, extracted from the 3D point cloud, with the 3D mesh of the floor (Lidia Fiorini from Tucci-Bonora surveys 2007-2009).
2.3.3. State of progress in modelling and future prospects

Over the three survey campaigns, the three-dimensional data acquisition extended to the whole of the Holy Sepulchre complex. Thanks to the technical characteristics of the tools used, at the same time we were able to survey buildings and structures not directly concerned by the studies underway. Thus we were able, for example, to survey the whole width of the street marking the western edge of the complex, the surrounding buildings, and the roofs in a large area around the church, up to the minaret of the Omar mosque. Hence, we acquired an enormous database, which at first was just used for the structures analysis considered in the seismic risk vulnerability analysis (see the Survey Chapter).

In a subsequent phase, we pinpointed 3D modelling as a tool that could be the basis for a complex communication project aimed at the variegated target of visitors to the monumental complex. The high resolution and accuracy of the data available has led us to try out different modelling approaches, test new software that has become available during the research, and, after various attempts, define the level of detail with which it seemed correct to represent the building.

In this connection, it is important to underline our choice to model the Aedicula in a different manner to the rest of the monumental complex. We concentrated the first tests on the small sacellum, which is interesting owing to its rich decoration and the significant deformations caused by earthquakes and fires. Therefore, we decided to ignore the deformations of the stone cladding and render the geometry of the Aedicula with the 3D model after calculating a triangulated model from the surveyed points. The first illustrates the original building, at least in its last configuration, while the second documents its present state, with the numerous oil lamps that adorn it and the metal support structures surrounding it.

For the rest of the building, the non-negligible limits of managing the hardware for a high-resolution model meant we had to set a lower degree of detail, even though no geometrical schematization was introduced: the columns are not cylinders, the irregular layout and outline of the barrels of the cross vaults reflect the real configuration of the spaces, and the positions of the arches correspond to reality. Instead, for the moment we have not modelled the bases, capitals and corbels which may, nevertheless, thanks to the approach followed, be detailed subsequently, or rendered with texturing techniques.

The model completed to date concerns the Rotunda, with the Aedicula in the centre, the Katholikon, and the so-called transept of the Virgins. We hope that the significant experience gained to date may be useful in order to complete a model of the whole complex. This in turn could lead to the creation of new educational/entertainment tools to guide visitors and pilgrims, as well as virtual visitors and scholars, in finding out and understanding spaces that they can observe and explore and intuitively link to the enthralling historical events and religious tradition of the site.
TABLE 2 DURATIONS IN SECONDS OF THE TABLES OF THE MESH. (From Novikova & Trifunac, 1994).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>MAGNITUDE</th>
<th>DURATION ON FIRM ROCK</th>
<th>DURATION ON GROUND</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>6.0</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7.0</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>52</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8.0</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>62</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

on occasion of a seismic event will not only depend on the extent of the PGA (Kawamura, 1996), but also on its associ-
ation with a frequency that is hazardous for the building (with relation to its natu-
ral modes of vibration) as well as the du-
ration of the shaking itself, linked to the cu-
rerec of the soil in question. Since there is no simple way to calculate the duration of the strong shaking, it is worth remembering the duration in which the 0.05 g threshold value is exceeded for different magnitudes (Table 2), as found in the study by Coimbra & Henriques (1977). It needs to be noted that the durations pro-
posed by Coimbra & Henriques (1977) have been criticized by some authors who prefer to refer to the durations found by Tsinavc & Bæver (1975).

Above all in light of the data collected in subsequent years, Tsinavc & Tsinavc (1994) proposed rather accurate tables (Figure 2), in which the durations are re-
lated not only to the magnitude, but also to the distance from the epicentre, as well as the site conditions and geometry. In earthquake engineering studies, par-
ticular significance is given to spectral acceleration, namely the amplitude of the response spectrum (spectral ordi-

tates) obtained directly from the spec-
trum of acceleration. The importance of the response spectrum for assessing seismic vulnerability can also be deduced from the consideration that in the regu-
lations of many countries the project spec-
tum (generalized response spectrum, obtained from the envelope of many spectra) for calculating individual spect-
tra we used a damping corresponding to 5% of the critical damping) is the basis for calculating the forces to apply when designing structures. A decisive step consists of analyzing the interactions that can be produced between the expected shaking – gener-
cally formulated starting from a “bench-
mark” earthquake while applying the various available attenuation relationships (Ambraseys & Babayan, 1997; McGuire, 2004; Bessato & Sciemi, 2015) – and the morphological and lithostratigraphic characteristics of a site which are able to modify the pro-
duction at specific frequencies. Therefore, it is also necessary to obtain amplification spectra which refer specifically to the site under the assumption of a model for the monument, the site and the seismic source. The amplification is calculated by (Abrahamson & Shedlock, 1997; Abraham & Vine, 2004; Bragato & Slejko, 2005) – and the morphological and site conditions and geometry. If a city has a politico-moral meaning, if a city is a long history – with a relative long history of the site, the distance from the epicentre, as well as the site conditions and geometry. In earthquake engineering studies, particular significance is given to spectral acceleration, namely the amplitude of the response spectrum (spectral ordinates) obtained directly from the spectrum of acceleration. The importance of the response spectrum for assessing seismic vulnerability can also be deduced from the consideration that in the regulations of many countries the project spectrum (generalized response spectrum, obtained from the envelope of many spectra) for calculating individual spectra we used a damping corresponding to 5% of the critical damping) is the basis for calculating the forces to apply when designing structures. A decisive step consists of analyzing the interactions that can be produced between the expected shaking – generally formulated starting from a “benchmark” earthquake while applying the various available attenuation relationships (Ambraseys & Babayan, 1997; McGuire, 2004; Bessato & Sciemi, 2015) – and the morphological and lithostratigraphic characteristics of a site which are able to modify the production at specific frequencies. Therefore, it is also necessary to obtain amplification spectra which refer specifically to the site where the monumental complex of the Holy Sepulchre is situated (see the para-
graph on site effects and modal analysis). The seismic activity in the areas subject to the seismic studies has always confirmed the association of extraordinary vulnerabil-
ity makes it fundamental to calculate the distance from the epicentre, as well as the site conditions and geometry. In earthquake engineering studies, particular significance is given to spectral acceleration, namely the amplitude of the response spectrum (spectral ordinates) obtained directly from the spectrum of acceleration. The importance of the response spectrum for assessing seismic vulnerability can also be deduced from the consideration that in the regulations of many countries the project spectrum (generalized response spectrum, obtained from the envelope of many spectra) for calculating individual spectra we used a damping corresponding to 5% of the critical damping) is the basis for calculating the forces to apply when designing structures. A decisive step consists of analyzing the interactions that can be produced between the expected shaking – generally formulated starting from a “benchmark” earthquake while applying the various available attenuation relationships (Ambraseys & Babayan, 1997; McGuire, 2004; Bessato & Sciemi, 2015) – and the morphological and lithostratigraphic characteristics of a site which are able to modify the production at specific frequencies. Therefore, it is also necessary to obtain amplification spectra which refer specifically to the site where the monumental complex of the Holy Sepulchre is situated (see the para-
graph on site effects and modal analysis)

2.5.2. History of earthquakes in the Jerusalem Area

Seismic activity in the areas subject to the study is attested to by documents cover-
ing a period of over 4,000 years (Roccielli, 1980; Ben Menahem, 1991; Amiran & al., 1994; Amiran & al., 2005). Together the historical information and instrumen-
tal earthquake data clearly demonstrate that a conspicuous number of destructive earthquakes have taken place in recent cen-
turies along the Dead Sea transform faults system (Figures 3 and 4). Palaeo-
earthquake studies have always confirmed the elevated seismicity of the region; in

different magnitudes (Table 2), as found in the study by Coimbra & Henriques (1977). It needs to be noted that the durations pro-
posed by Coimbra & Henriques (1977) have been criticized by some authors who prefer to refer to the durations found by Tsinavc & Bæver (1975).

Above all in light of the data collected in subsequent years, Tsinavc & Tsinavc (1994) proposed rather accurate tables (Figure 2), in which the durations are re-
lated not only to the magnitude, but also to the distance from the epicentre, as well as the site conditions and geometry. In earthquake engineering studies, particular significance is given to spectral acceleration, namely the amplitude of the response spectrum (spectral ordinates) obtained directly from the spectrum of acceleration. The importance of the response spectrum for assessing seismic vulnerability can also be deduced from the consideration that in the regulations of many countries the project spectrum (generalized response spectrum, obtained from the envelope of many spectra) for calculating individual spectra we used a damping corresponding to 5% of the critical damping) is the basis for calculating the forces to apply when designing structures. A decisive step consists of analyzing the interactions that can be produced between the expected shaking – generally formulated starting from a “benchmark” earthquake while applying the various available attenuation relationships (Ambraseys & Babayan, 1997; McGuire, 2004; Bessato & Sciemi, 2015) – and the morphological and lithostratigraphic characteristics of a site which are able to modify the production at specific frequencies. Therefore, it is also necessary to obtain amplification spectra which refer specifically to the site where the monumental complex of the Holy Sepulchre is situated (see the para-
graph on site effects and modal analysis). The seismic activity in the areas subject to the study is attested to by documents cover-
ing a period of over 4,000 years (Roccielli, 1980; Ben Menahem, 1991; Amiran & al., 1994; Amiran & al., 2005). Together the historical information and instrumen-
tal earthquake data clearly demonstrate that a conspicuous number of destructive earthquakes have taken place in recent cen-
turies along the Dead Sea transform faults system (Figures 3 and 4). Palaeo-
earthquake studies have always confirmed the elevated seismicity of the region; in

Among the strongest earthquakes gener-

Nevertheless, it is not without doubt that, while no active faults have been dis-
covered in the Jerusalem area (Bartov, 2002), the immediately adjacent ar-
5% of the critical damping) is the basis
The first point of evaluation concerns the direction from which the seismic noise originates. As well as being necessary for methodological requirements, this analysis is also needed to attach a meaning to the most recurrent spectral characteristics. The method used is based on calculating the covariance matrix, which is linearized by calculating the eigenvalues and eigenvectors and applied to signals filtered on preset frequency bands. The result is shown in Figure 5 and demonstrates a substantial lack of polarization in the whole selected frequency band except between 1 and 2 Hz. If we are to focus our attention between 1 and 2 Hz, it has been noted that at times in this range there is a recurrence of asymmetrical peaks centred around 1.6 and 1.9 Hz, with the second particularly polarized on the longitudinal component. This evidence shows exceptions in the eastern part of the building, in particular in the corridor area, the Chapels of St. Helena,
2.10.2.2. Scale of priorities for possible retrofitting interventions

The list of the results of the sustainable accelerations for the different macroelements is ordered in terms of decreasing vulnerability and underlines the most vulnerable structures of the Monumental Complex of the Holy Sepulchre.

Table II allows an immediate comparison of the performances of the various structures with respect to a benchmark ground acceleration value (e.g. 0.13 g or a modified value).

As already underlined previously, the evaluation of the seismic vulnerability resulted from the analysis had to be framed within the sphere of validity of the analysis itself: this aspect is considered in the following paragraph, which deals with the sphere of validity of the results of the analysis and proposes guidelines for future developments.

2.10.2.3. Analysis summary

As for every structural analysis, the reliability of the results depends on two important aspects:

1) on the completeness (quantity) and on the quality of the available data;
2) on the fitness of the calculation procedures applied.

For point 1), the following sets of data were available:

- visual data taken from on-site surveys;
- visual data taken from on-site surveys;
- visual data taken from on-site surveys;
- visual data taken from on-site surveys.

Hence, the analysis is considered to be representative of the structures and their performance.

The map of the sustainable accelerations provided in Figure 5 allows for a better visualization of the results and helps in understanding the spatial distribution of the accelerations.
in-plane mechanism can in itself display a lower collapse multiplier. This prevents the analysis from being performed in ranges of strain that are unacceptable. Knowledge of the areas of damage of the structure in correspondence to the ultimate shear shall enable the hypothesis of breakage in an orthogonal direction to be integrated, providing exhaustive information on the expected damage. The two steel rings in the structure are also identified, positioned at a height of approximately 2.50 and 4.50 m above the 0.00 of the springer. The rings have a square section of 100x100 mm (Figure 19).

The illustration of the damage expected owing to membrane effects is shown in Figure 21.

2.30.3.1.2. The Dome with drum underneath

A modal analysis was performed to define the period of vibration. A non-linear seismic analysis defines the collapse mechanism owing to the instability caused by the displacement of the thrust line from the geometry of the structure. An additional model investigated the limit behaviour, with the kinematics hypothesized beforehand, with regard to the orthogonal collapse mechanism at the middle level of the structure (out of plane).

The analysis was conducted on the portion of the structure relating to a 45° slice, comprising a pier 2 of the drum and the two openings alongside it: it is hypothesized that the detachment would take place halfway along the architrave.

2. A wall between two openings.
1) the restructured dome was subject to a specific in-depth study carried out with modern engineering tools and approaches;
2) the data processed and processed by the engineers, regarding the geometry, the state of strain of the single metal trusses, the physical and mechanical parameters of the materials (defined through experimental investigations) certainly featured a greater level of knowledge than can be reproduced in this chapter. Instead, in this work, the analysis of the Rotunda supporting structure underneath the dome is of primary interest.

Left, from above:
**FIGURE 51** The Anastasis dome in 1868, after the damage and prior to reconstruction (Israel Antiquities Authority).

**FIGURE 52** The Anastasis Dome in 1868. First phase of reconstruction. The structure with wrought iron arches can be seen.

**FIGURE 53** The Anastasis Dome in 1868. Second phase of reconstruction. This shows the wooden structure used for the external shell, which would then be destroyed in a fire in 1947.

**FIGURE 54** The Anastasis Dome in 1868. Last phase of reconstruction.

Left, from top:
**FIGURE 57** The wrought iron arches. Left, a static diagram from the Common Technical Bureau (1977); right, detail of the existing structure drawn during the restructuring project (I.H. Reith, 1982).


**FIGURE 59** Restructuring project, 1980 (I.H. Reith, 1982).

**FIGURE 60** (above) Structural model of the iron arch.

**FIGURE 61** (left) Assessment of the weight of the arch itself.

Sect. 2
- dim. Y = 100,
- dim. Z = 140
- thickness Y = 10,
- thickness Z = 20

Sect. 3
- dim. Y = 10 + 100 + 10,
- dim. Z = 60 + 10 + 10,
- thickness Y = 10,
- thickness Z = 10 + 10

Sect. 4
- The thickness of all the elements is 10;
- dim. Y = 10 + 100 + 10, base 100,
- dim. Z = 10 + 180 + 10 + 10

Number identifying the sections. Number 1 is a flat section measuring 60x10 mm; 2, 3 and 4 are described alongside (in mm)

Under the action of its own weight, by simply analysing the elastic-linear structure, through the constraint reactions, it is possible to calculate the overall weight of the structure: 35.50 – 23.19 = 12.31 kN. The coloured scale shows the normal stress of the rods according to the linear elastic static diagram.